•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/727,311	HUSE, WILLIAM D.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David A. Lambertson	1636
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>David A. Lambertson</u> .	(3)	•
(2) Mark Stewart.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 September 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	pplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
	·	
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.	SENIEDAL NIATUDE OF MULATA	AVA C DICCUICCED.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G See Continuation Sheet	SENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sur 	n. The examiner will provide a variate record of the substance of	vritten summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
	· .	
•		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	licant/Applicant's Representativ	e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was contacted regarding a Notice of Non-Responsive Amendment, mailed October 26, 2004. Applicant's representative was informed that no response was presently filed with regard to said Notice, that the case was technically abandoned, and that a notice of abandoment would be forthcoming.